# Embedding computations in tilings (Part 3)

#### Andrei Romashchenko

June 3, 2016

◆□→ ◆圖→ ◆注→ ◆注→ □注

1/16

- $\tau$ -tilings exits, and
- all  $\tau$ -tilings are aperiodic

- $\tau$ -tilings exits, and
- all  $\tau$ -tilings are aperiodic

Many (most)proofs does not look robust:

- $\tau$ -tilings exits, and
- all  $\tau$ -tilings are aperiodic

Many (most)proofs does not look robust:

Tilings are aperiodic, but close to periodic;

- $\tau$ -tilings exits, and
- all  $\tau$ -tilings are aperiodic

Many (most)proofs does not look robust:

- Tilings are aperiodic, but close to periodic;
- There are periodic configurations that are almost tilings (with a sparse set of tiling errors)

We want the tilings to be very aperiodic.

 every shift changes a significant fraction of positions

- every shift changes a significant fraction of positions
- being far from any periodic

- every shift changes a significant fraction of positions
- being far from any periodic

Such configurations do exist. Moreover, they can be enforced by tiling rules.

## Robustness: sparse errors (or holes) does not matter

Robustness: sparse errors (or holes) does not matter What is sparse ?

#### Robustness:

sparse errors (or holes) does not matter

## What is **sparse** ?

 $B_{\varepsilon}$ : Bernoulli distribution where each cell belongs to a random set with probability  $\varepsilon$  and different cells are independent

#### Robustness:

sparse errors (or holes) does not matter

## What is **sparse** ?

 $B_{\varepsilon}$ : Bernoulli distribution where each cell belongs to a random set with probability  $\varepsilon$  and different cells are independent

The notion of a **sparse set** is reasonable if for small enough  $\varepsilon$  a  $B_{\varepsilon}$ -random set is **sparse** with prob. 1

## Robust tile set: sparse tiling errors (or holes) does not matter

Robust tile set: **sparse** tiling errors (or holes) does not matter What could does not matter mean? Robust tile set:

sparse tiling errors (or holes) does not matter

What could does not matter mean?

**Sparse** errors do not change the property of strong aperiodicity.

Robust tile set:

sparse tiling errors (or holes) does not matter

What could does not matter mean?

**Sparse** errors do not change the property of strong aperiodicity.

**Theorem** [Durand-R.-Shen] There exists a tile set  $\tau$  such that for all small enough  $\varepsilon$  the following is true for  $B_{\varepsilon}$ -almost all sets H:

Every tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus H$  is very aperiodic (every non-zero translation changes > 10% of tiles).

1. introduce some redundancy (every tile "knows" information about its neighbors)  $\Rightarrow$  we correct small errors (e.g., 2 × 2 holes)



1. introduce some redundancy (every tile "knows" information about its neighbors)  $\Rightarrow$  we correct small errors (e.g., 2 × 2 holes)



2. a small miracle: self-similarity  $\Rightarrow$  we can correct an error of any size!

1. introduce some redundancy (every tile "knows" information about its neighbors)  $\Rightarrow$  we correct small errors (e.g., 2 × 2 holes)



2. a small miracle: self-similarity  $\Rightarrow$  we can correct an error of any size!

3. a real miracle: we can correct a *random* set of miracles (with prob 1)

## A $B_{\varepsilon}$ -random set consists of isolated "islands" of different levels

.

.

.

.

.

· · .

÷

.

・ < 言 > < 言 > こ < つ < で 7/16

#### Isolated 0-level islands:



★ E ★ E ★ E ★ O Q O

8/16

#### Clean up 0-level islands:

. .

. -

1

÷.,

▶ < 콜▶ < 콜▶ 콜 ∽ 의 ↔ 9 / 16

#### Isolated 1-level islands:



## Clean up 1-level islands:

★ E ► ★ E ► E → Q ← 11/16

#### 2-level island:



With probability 1 the cleaning procedure converges. Moreover, with probability 1 only the fraction  $O(\varepsilon)$  of points is involved in the correcting procedure.

<ロト <回 > < 注 > < 注 > < 注 > 注 ) Q (~ 14/16

Idea: embed some substitution.

Idea: embed some substitution.

E.g., implement the Thue–Morse substitution rule:

$$0 \to \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad 1 \to \left( \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

Idea: embed some substitution.

E.g., implement the Thue–Morse substitution rule:

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \cdots$$

Idea: embed some substitution.

E.g., implement the Thue–Morse substitution rule:

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$0 \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \cdots$$

**Lemma**. The limit configuration of the Thue–Morse substitution rule is strongly aperiodic.

self-similar tiling

- self-similar tiling
- Iocal robustness: patching isolated holes

- self-similar tiling
- Iocal robustness: patching isolated holes
- split a random set of holes in isolated islands

- self-similar tiling
- Iocal robustness: patching isolated holes
- split a random set of holes in isolated islands
- embed a very periodic substitution rule (e.g., Thue-Morse)

- self-similar tiling
- Iocal robustness: patching isolated holes
- split a random set of holes in isolated islands
- embed a very periodic substitution rule (e.g., Thue-Morse)

And it works!

Once again:

**Theorem.** There exists a tile set  $\tau$  such that for all small enough  $\varepsilon$  the following is true for  $B_{\varepsilon}$ -almost all sets H:

Every tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus H$  is very aperiodic (every non-zero translation changes > 10% of tiles).

Once again:

**Theorem.** There exists a tile set  $\tau$  such that for all small enough  $\varepsilon$  the following is true for  $B_{\varepsilon}$ -almost all sets H:

Every tiling of  $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus H$  is very aperiodic (every non-zero translation changes > 10% of tiles).

**Question:** How to achieve the "robustness" property without fixed-point?